

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 MADRID 02134 041145Z

43

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 SS-20

USIA-15 EB-11 COME-00 TRSE-00 SAM-01 DRC-01 /113 W

----- 081475

R 031727Z APR 74

FM AMEMBASSY MADRID

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 8564

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

USMISSION NATO

USEC BRUSSELS 817

UNCLAS MADRID 2134

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PFOR, MARR, SP XT, NATO

SUBJ: EDITORIAL DEBATE ON FUTURE OF US-SPANISH DEFENSE ARRANGEMENTS

REF: MADRID 1730

1. INTENSE PRESS COVERAGE IN RECENT WEEKS OF US-EEC DISPUTE HAS  
STIMULATED HEAVY EDITORIAL COMMENT IN SPANISH PRESS IN PAST TWO WEEKS  
ON QUESTION OF WHERE US-EUROPEAN CONTROVERSY LEAVES SPAIN.

2. NUEVO DIARIO, MADRID DAILY, WHICH REFLECTS VIEWS OF CATHOLIC ORDER  
OPUS DEI, CARRIED EDITORIAL MAR 26 ENTITLED, "SPAIN, EUROPE AND  
UNITED STATES." REPORTEDLY WRITTEN PERSONALLY BY FORMER FOREIGN  
MINISTER LOPEZ RODO, EDITORIAL TOOK ISSUE WITH EARLIER CONTENTIONS  
APPEARING INFORMACIONES (REFTEL) THAT SPAIN SHOULD NOT PREJUDICE  
ITS FUTURE WITH EUROPE BY COMMITTING ITSELF TOO MUCH TO US IN FORTH-  
COMING NEGOTIATIONS.

3. NUEVO DIARIO EDITORIAL MADE FOLLOWING KEY POINTS:  
(A) IT WOULD BE A PROFOUND ERROR FOR SPAIN TO THINK IT MUST CHOOSE  
BETWEEN THE US AND EUROPE. SPAIN'S RELATIONS WITH US  
AND WITH EUROPE ARE QUALITIATIVELY DIFFERENT MATTERS.  
RELATIONSHIP WITH EUROPEAN COUNTRIES AND THEIR ORGANIZATIONS  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 MADRID 02134 041145Z

IS ONE OF SOLIDARITY AND ULTIMATE INTEGRATION, AS SPAIN,

TOGETHER WITH THEM FORMS PART OF ONE GEOGRAPHIC REGION, ONE ECONOMIC AREA AND ONE CONTINENTAL MASS. RELATIONS WITH US, ON OTHER HAND, NOT REGIONAL IN NATURE BUT BILATERAL, THOUGH NO LESS IMPORTANT. FOR SPAIN, FRIENDSHIP AND SUPPORT OF WORLD'S GREATEST POWER ARE DECISIVE IN DEFENSE, DIPLOMATIC AND ECONOMIC MATTERS. LINKS UNITING US AND SPAIN SINCE 1953, WHICH MUST BE DEFINED ANEW IN 1975, ARE NOT Ephemeral OR OCCASIONAL, BUT ARE BASED ON DEEP REALITIES OF POWER AND ON THE CONVICTION OF THE ADVANTAGE OF MUTUAL COOPERATION.

(B) SECOND ERROR IS TO THINK THAT REAL ANTAGONISM CAN EXIST BETWEEN EUROPE AND US, AS THEIR DESTINIES AND THEIR HISTORIES ARE FAR TOO INTERLINKED. WHILE WE ARE NOW WITNESSING A SOMEWHAT EXAGGERATED CONFRONTATION BETWEEN THEM, TURE INTEREST OF SPAIN IS TO MAINTAIN BALANCE OF OUR POSITION BETWEEN US AND EUROPE. WHILE SPAIN IS PART OF ATLANTIC AREA, VISCISSITUDES OF HISTORY KEPT HER FROM BE- LONGING TO NATO. EVEN SO, IN NATO OR OUTSIDE OF IT, SPAIN MUST ALWAYS COLLABORATE LOYALLY WITH US IN MUTUAL BENE- FIT AND COOPERATE WITH ITS WESTERN EUROPEAN NEIGHBORS PROGRESSIVELY BUILDING INSTITUTIONAL LINKS WITH THEM.

(C) THE ALTERNATIVE, TO CHOOSE ARBITRARILY BETWEEN US AND EUROPE, COULD LEAD TO NEUTRALISM AND ISOLATION. SPAIN CANNOT BE STRONG IN THE WEST EXCEPT BY HARMONIZING ITS POLICIES WITH ALL ELEMENTS OF THE WEST. IT CANNOT BE STRONG IN THE WORLD EXCEPT FROM A POSITION AS COUNTRY HOLDING BALANCED UPOSITION IN WESTERN WORLD. PRINCIPAL POINT OF SPAIN'S FOREIGN POLICY MUST BE TO MAKE SPAIN'S VALUE FELT IN THE WORLD -- ECONOMIC AND STRATEGIC VALUE AS WELL. SPAIN'S "SPECIFIC GRAVITY" WAS RECOGNIZED IN US-SPANISH JOINT COMMUNIQUE OF DECEMBER 19.

4. MADRID'S CHRISTIAN DEMOCRATIC DAILY YA ON MARCH 29 REPLIED TO NUEVO DIARIO'S EDITORIAL IN ARTICLE ENTITLED, "FOREIGN POLICY" UNDER BY-LINE OF TACITO. "TACITO," WHICH IS A PSEU- DONYM USED BY SHIFTING YROUP OF YOUNG CHRISTIAN DEMOCRATIC CONTRIBUTORS, OFFERED FOLLOWING ARGUMENTS:

(A) INTERNATIONAL ORDER, PARTICULARLY SPAIN'S EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN ENVIRONMENT, IS CHANGING SIGNIFICANTLY. PRESPECT OF US TROOP WITHDRAWAL FROM EUROPE IS REASON ENOUGH FOR GOVERN- UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 MADRID 02134 041145Z

MENT AND PUBLIC OPINION TO RAISE CERTAIN QUESTIONS, BUT EVEN MORE SO IN VIEW OF APPROACHING REVIEW OF BASES AGREEMENT WITH US. IN CONSIDERING WHETHER OR NOT TO EXTEND A BASE AGREEMENT, IT NECESSARY TO TAKE NOTE OF US INCLINATION TO LEAVE EUROPE, OPPOSITION OF US CONGRESS TO AGREEMENT, AND TO FACT THAT NATO CANNOT BE FULLY EUROPEANIZED WITHOUT SPAIN.

(B) ABSENT FROM PRESENT BASES AGREEMENT IS LANGUAGE OF

1963 JOINT DECLARATION. SINCE US HAS DELIBERATELY AVOIDED ANY COMMITMENT IN NATURE OF ALLIANCE, SPAIN MUST SEEK NEW FRAMEWORK OF DEFINITIONS AND GUARANTEES IN FUTURE RELATIONS WITH US WHICH WOULD RECOGNIZE CHANGED INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENT. SUCH AGREEMENT WILL BE JUSTIFIED TO EXTENT IN WHICH IT CONTRIBUTES TO SPAIN'S ECONOMIC STRENGTH AND MILITARY POTENTIAL. BUT ONE WONDERS IF SUCH OBJECTIVES ATTAINABLE IN NEW COOPERATION AGREEMENT CONTINUALLY SUBJECT TO EMNITY OF US CONGRESS. SIMILARLY ECONOMIC AID, EVEN IN DECLINE, IS SUBJECT TO EBB AND FLOW OF CONFLICT BETWEEN WHITE HOUSE AND CONGRESS. FOR THIS REASON ARTICLE 31 OF AFC ENVISAGES SERIES OF CIRCUMSTANCES THAT IMPAIR FULL COMPLIANCE WITH COMMITMENTS IN AGREEMENTS ITSELF. JOINT COMMUNIQUE (SIGNED BY FORMER FOREIGN MINISTER LOPEZ RODO AND KISSINGER) DECEMBER 19, 1973 DID NOT RECOGNIZE SPAIN'S NEEDS, FOR IT FAILED TO SAY THAT WASHINGTON IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SPAIN'S SECURITY, THAT SPAIN SHOULD NOT BE BARRED FROM ENTERING NATO IF SHE DESIRES, THAT US SUPPORTS OUR ENTRY INTO EEC, OR THAT IT WILL HELP TO SOLVE PROBLEM OF GIBRALTAR. SHOULD SPAIN REEL "REWARDED" IF FOREIGN PERSONAGE OFFERS IT AMIABLE BUT EMPTY PHRASES? NUEVO DIARIO SURPRISINGLY COMPLACENT IN CLAIMING THAT "SPECIFIC GRAVITY" OF SPAIN WAS RECOGNIZED IN DECEMBER US-SPANISH COMMUNIQUE.

(C) QUESTION OF SPAIN'S ULTIMATE MEMBERSHIP IN NATO CANNOT BE OVERLOOKED IN CONSIDERING CURRENT RELATIONS WITH US. MEMBERSHIP IN NATO IS OF POLITICAL INTEREST AND VITAL TO DEFENSE OF COUNTRIES, SUCH AS SPAIN, THAT CANNOT MAKE UP THEIR LACK OF NATO PARTICIPATION WITH THEIR OWN NUCLEAR DETERRENTS. EVIDENT THAT WHILE SPAIN DOES NOT ENJOY ADVANTAGES OF NATO COUNTRIES, IT CONTRIBUTES DE FACTO TO WESTERN DEFENSE WITHOUT ANY RECOGNITION. DEBATABLE THAT, AS SOMETIMES CLAIMED, SPANISH ENTRY INTO NATO WOULD CAUSE UNBEARABLE IN- UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 MADRID 02134 041145Z

CREASE IN DEFENSE COSTS. GREECE, TURKEY, AND PORTUGAL ARE NATO MEMBERS EVEN THOUGH ECONOMIC POWER BELOW THAT OF SPAIN. MOREOVER SPANISH DEFENSE INVESTMENTS ARE INCREASING IN ANY EVENT, WITH OR WITHOUT NATO MEMBERSHIP.

(D) FINALLY CLOSELY RELATED PROBLEM IS THAT OF GIBRALTAR. SPAIN MUST ASSERT WITH URGENCY THE INADMISSIBILITY OF A FOREIGN BASE, WITHOUT ITS CONSENT, ON INTEGRAL PART OF ITS TERRITORY. RIVERO

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** PRESS COMMENTS, FOREIGN RELATIONS, POLICIES, SECURITY, MINISTERIAL VISITS, MILITARY BASE AGREEMENTS, NEGOTIATIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 03 APR 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974MADRID02134  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D740076-0296  
**From:** MADRID  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740471/aaaacnab.tel  
**Line Count:** 163  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** MADRID 1730  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** golinofr  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 06 MAY 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <06 MAY 2002 by bryansd0>; APPROVED <05 JUN 2002 by golinofr>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** EDITORIAL DEBATE ON FUTURE OF US-SPANISH DEFENSE ARRANGEMENTS  
**TAGS:** PFOR, MARR, SP, XT, US, NATO  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005